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ABSTRACT

Since the launch in 2008 by the National Osteoporosis Guideline Group (NOGG), of guidance for the diagnosis and management of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women and older men in the UK there have been significant advances in risk assessment and treatment. These have been incorporated into an updated version of the guideline, with an additional focus on the management of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis, the role of calcium and vitamin D therapy and the benefits and risks of long-term bisphosphonate therapy. The updated guideline is summarised below. The recommendations in the guideline are intended to aid management decisions but do not replace the need for clinical judgement in the care of individuals in clinical practice.

© 2013 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In 2008 the National Osteoporosis Guideline Group (NOGG), in collaboration with many Societies in the UK, produced guidance for the diagnosis and management of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women and older men in the UK [1,2]. Since that time there has been a number of advances in the field, particularly with respect to glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis, the role of calcium and vitamin D therapy, and the benefits and risks of long-term bisphosphonate therapy. In addition new pharmacological interventions have been approved for the prevention of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis and the management of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women and men at increased risk of fracture. These advances have been incorporated into an updated version of the guideline, detailed below.

2. Diagnosis of osteoporosis

The diagnosis of osteoporosis relies on the quantitative assessment of bone mineral density (BMD), usually by central dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA). BMD at the femoral neck provides the reference site. It is defined as a value for BMD 2.5 SD or more below the young female adult mean (T-score less than or equal to −2.5 SD). Severe osteoporosis (established osteoporosis) describes osteoporosis in the presence of one or more fragility fractures [3].

Diagnostic thresholds differ from intervention thresholds for several reasons. Firstly, the fracture risk varies at different ages, even with the same T-score. In addition, other factors determine intervention thresholds including the presence of clinical risk factors (CRFs) and the cost and benefits of treatment.
3. Investigation of osteoporosis

The range of tests will depend on the severity of the disease, age at presentation and the presence or absence of fractures. The aims of the clinical history, physical examination and clinical tests are to:

- exclude diseases that mimic osteoporosis (e.g. osteomalacia, myeloma);
- identify the cause of osteoporosis and contributory factors;
- assess the risk of subsequent fractures;
- select the most appropriate form of treatment;

The procedures that may be relevant to the investigation of osteoporosis are shown in Table 1. Other investigations, for example bone biopsy and genetic testing for osteogenesis imperfecta, are restricted to specialist centres.

4. Clinical risk factors

At present there is no universally accepted policy for population screening in the UK to identify individuals with osteoporosis or those at high risk of fracture. Patients are identified opportunistically using a case finding strategy on the finding of a previous fragility fracture or the presence of significant CRFs [4,5]. Some of these risk factors act independently of BMD to increase fracture risk whereas others increase fracture risk through their association with low BMD (e.g. some of the secondary causes of osteoporosis in Table 2).

Table 2
Clinical risk factors used for the assessment of fracture probability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low body mass index (≤ 19 kg/m²)</td>
<td>Previous fragility fracture, particularly of the hip, wrist and spine including morphometric vertebral fracture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental history of hip fracture</td>
<td>Current glucocorticoid treatment (any dose, by mouth for three months or more)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking</td>
<td>Alcohol intake of three or more units daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary causes of osteoporosis including Rheumatoid arthritis</td>
<td>Untreated hypogonadism in men and women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged immobility</td>
<td>Organ transplantation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 1 diabetes</td>
<td>Hypothyroidism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal disease</td>
<td>Chronic liver disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease</td>
<td>Falls*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Not accommodated in the FRAX algorithm.

5. Case finding

5.1. Postmenopausal women and men aged ≥50 years

Fracture probability should be assessed in postmenopausal women and in men aged 50 years or more using FRAX, where assessment would influence management.

5.2. Individuals treated with oral glucocorticoids

FRAX assumes an average dose of prednisolone (2.5–7.5 mg/day or its equivalent) and may underestimate fracture risk in patients taking higher doses and overestimate risk in those taking lower doses. Using UK data, average adjustments (multiples of FRAX probabilities) over all ages in postmenopausal women and men aged ≥50 years have been estimated for doses less than 2.5 mg/day, 2.5–7.5 mg/day and >7.5 mg/day prednisolone or its equivalent [6,7].

When the UK FRAX model is used and the glucocorticoid box is filled, 3 points appear on the NOGG graphs, one for low dose, one for medium dose and one for high dose (as defined above). The assessment thresholds and intervention thresholds are then used in the same way as described below for postmenopausal osteoporosis.

6. Intervention thresholds

The intervention threshold at each age is set at a risk equivalent to that associated with a prior fracture and, therefore rises with age. The proportion of women in the UK potentially eligible for treatment rises from 20% to 40% with age [5].

Probabilities of a major osteoporotic fracture (as well as hip fracture probabilities) can be plotted at the NOGG web site (www.shef.ac.uk/NOGG) available through FRAX (Fig. 1).

The chart is colour coded. Green denotes that an individual’s risk lies below the intervention threshold i.e. treatment is not indicated. Red denotes that the fracture probability is consistently above the upper assessment threshold, so that treatment can be strongly recommended in most cases. Those with fracture probabilities in the intermediate category (orange) should be considered for BMD assessment using DXA and fracture probability should then be recomputed using FRAX.
7. Treatment of osteoporosis

General management includes assessment of the risk of falls and their prevention. Maintenance of mobility and correction of nutritional deficiencies, particularly of calcium, vitamin D and protein, should be advised.

7.1. Postmenopausal women and men aged ≥50 years

Major pharmacological interventions are the bisphosphonates, denosumab, parathyroid hormone peptides, raloxifene and strontium ranelate. All these interventions have been shown to reduce the risk of vertebral fracture and some have been shown to also reduce the risk of non-vertebral fractures, in some cases specifically at the hip (see Table 3).

The low cost of generic alendronate, which has a broad spectrum of anti-fracture efficacy, makes this the first line treatment in the majority of cases. In individuals who are intolerant of alendronate or in whom it is contraindicated, ibandronate, risedronate, zoledronic acid, denosumab, raloxifene or strontium ranelate may provide appropriate treatment options. The high cost of parathyroid hormone peptides restricts their use to those at very high risk, particularly for vertebral fractures. Other approved pharmacological interventions for postmenopausal women include calcitriol, etidronate and hormone replacement therapy.

Alendronate, risedronate, zoledronic acid, strontium ranelate and teriparatide are approved for treatment of men at increased risk of fracture.

7.2. Individuals taking oral glucocorticoids

Alendronate, etidronate and risedronate are approved for the prevention and treatment of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis in postmenopausal women. Teriparatide and zoledronic acid are approved for treatment of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis in men and women at increased risk of fracture. Bone-protective treatment should be started at the onset of glucocorticoid therapy in patients at increased risk of fracture. The low cost of generic formulations of alendronate makes them the first line option in the majority of cases. In individuals who are intolerant of these agents or in whom it is contraindicated, etidronate, risedronate and zoledronic acid are appropriate options. The high cost of teriparatide restricts its use to those at very high risk, particularly for vertebral fractures.

8. Calcium and vitamin D supplementation

Calcium and vitamin D supplementation is widely recommended in older people who are housebound or living in residential or nursing homes, where vitamin D deficiency and low dietary calcium intake are common. Supplementation is also often advocated as an adjunct to other treatments for osteoporosis, as the clinical trials of these agents were performed in patients who were calcium and vitamin D replete. It has been suggested that calcium supplementation may potentially be associated with adverse cardiovascular outcomes [8,9], but these studies have been widely criticised and the putative association requires further clarification [10]. Although a longitudinal cohort study also suggested an increased risk of cardiovascular events with calcium supplementation this was not seen with a high dietary intake of calcium [11]. It may therefore be prudent to increase dietary calcium intake and use vitamin D alone, where the use of calcium and vitamin D supplementation might otherwise be considered [12].

9. Duration and monitoring of therapy

In most patients at increased risk of fracture, treatment needs to be continued long-term. The beneficial effects of treatment with drugs other than bisphosphonates wear off soon after therapy is discontinued, but may be maintained for longer periods of time after cessation of bisphosphonate therapy. This, together with concerns over possible adverse effects of long-term bisphosphonate therapy, particularly osteonecrosis of the jaw and atypical femoral fractures [2], has raised questions over the optimal duration of bisphosphonate treatment and whether, in some individuals, treatment should be discontinued for a period of time (the so-called “drug holiday”).

Based on the data available, it is recommended that treatment review should be performed after 5 years for alendronate, risedronate or ibandronate and after 3 years for zoledronic acid.
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9.1. Alendronate, ibandronate and risedronate

Withdrawal of treatment with these bisphosphonates is associated with decreases in bone mineral density (BMD) and bone turnover after 2–3 years for alendronate and 1–2 years for ibandronate and risedronate [13–15]. Continuation of treatment without the need for further assessment can generally be recommended in the following groups:

- High-risk individuals, for example:
  - those aged 75 years or more;
  - those who have previously sustained a hip or vertebral fracture;
  - those who are taking continuous oral glucocorticoids in a dose of >7.5 mg/d prednisolone or equivalent.

- Individuals who sustain one or more low trauma fractures during treatment, after exclusion of poor adherence to treatment (for example less than 80% of treatment has been taken) and after causes of secondary osteoporosis have been excluded. In such cases the treatment option should be re-evaluated.

- If the total hip or femoral neck BMD T-score is ≤−2.5 SD, continuation of treatment should generally be avoided.

In the above individuals in whom treatment is continued, treatment review should be performed every 5 years, including assessment of renal function.

If treatment is discontinued, fracture risk should be reassessed:

- after a new fracture regardless of when this occurs;
- if no new fracture occurs, after two years.

9.2. Zoledronate acid

When treatment is discontinued after three years of zoledronic acid therapy, the beneficial effects on BMD persist for at least another three years [16]. For the majority of treated individuals, treatment should be stopped after three years and the case for continuation of therapy reviewed three years later. Individuals with a previous vertebral fracture or a pre-treatment hip BMD T-score ≤−2.5 SD may be at increased risk of vertebral fracture if treatment is stopped.

9.3. Assessment of fracture risk in treated individuals

- Reassessment of fracture risk in treated individuals can be performed using FRAX with femoral neck BMD [17]. The NOGG intervention thresholds can then be used to guide the decision as to whether treatment can be stopped for a period of time (Fig. 2).
- If biochemical markers of bone turnover indicate relapse from suppressed bone turnover and BMD has decreased following withdrawal, resumption of treatment should be considered.
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